



Rural Electrification in Thailand: Policy and Implementation

Reungvith Vechasart Assistant Governor (Retired) Suttidej Suttisom Deputy Governor (Retired)

13 February 2014

Capacity Building Programme for Officers of Electricity Regulatory Commissions, India Organized by IIT Kanpur, Bangkok, Thailand





1. Evolution of Rural Electrification in Thailand

2. Policy, Strategies, and Implementation

3. Lessons from Thailand Experience

4. Conclusion

Geography

CapitalBangkokThailand at a glanceProvince77Population67 million (10 million in Bangkok)CurrencyBaht (30.2 Baht/US\$-2011 average reference rate)LanguageThaiGovernmentConstitutional Monarchy

Head of Government : PM Yingluck Shinawatra

ENERGY OVERVIEW 2011

Electric Generation Capacity

32,395 MW

Peak Demand

26,121 MW

Overview of Electricity Supply Industry

Structure of ESI of Thailand Enhanced Single Buyer Model (ESB)



Power System in Thailand





Rural Electrification in Thailand



Historical Background

• Thailand had its first experience with electricity 130 years ago, since 1884

 In 1972 only 10 % of rural villages had access to electricity RE Planning Step 1: Reconnaissance Study

- Reconnaissance Study (1970)
 - Overview of the country
 - Assessment on the readiness of Gov., Gen, PEA, and Rural People
 - Recommend whether the Program should be undertaken or not.

RE Planning Step 1: Reconnaissance Study

• 1971 the Government approve in Principle to cover all of Thailand with grid electricity

Commitment by the Government to RE
 Development in Thailand - Important Step

RE Planning Step 2: Master Plan

• Pre- Feasibility Study, called

the Accelerated Rural Electrification National

Plan Study

(completed in 1972)

Contents in The Master Plan

- Guidelines for designating priority Areas for electrification
- Financial size for overall programme
- Time-frame for long-term programme
- Processes for implementation

Contents in The Master Plan

- Organization and management requirements
- Parameters for power system planning
- Technical standards
- Load promotion programme

Contents in The Master Plan

- Electricity pricing
- Construction, Operation, and Maintenance procedures

RE Planning Step 2: Master Plan

1973 the Government officially approved the

Pre-Feasibility Report and adapted it as The

National Plan for Thailand Accelerated Rural

Electrification

Area Priority for Electrification

- Within the frame work of the Master Plan, the priority of electrification had already been given to the more economically backward and politically unstable areas.
- In case of Thailand, they are: The Northeast – South – North – and the Central Zones

I4 Original Timetable for Thailand's National Rural Electrification Plan (Source: PEA 1978)

Stage	Fiscal Years	In Program	Accumulated	Region
I	1977-1981	5,200	5,200	Northeast
II	1980 – 1984	8,000	13,200	South
111	1983 – 1987	13,500	26,700	North
IV	1986 – 1990	14,500	41,200	Central
V	1990 – onwa	rd 5,800	47,000	Countrywide

RE Planning Step 3: Feasibility Study

- Detailed feasibility study of the Accelerated Rural Electrification Project First Phase : ARE 1
- Focus Northeast Area
- Start Project Construction

Systematic Model for RE Planning

- Reconnaissance Study to assess readiness of all relevant party, the Government, EGAT, PEA, Rural Population.
- Pre- Feasibility Study (National Plan for Thailand Accelerated Rural Electrification)
- Detailed Feasibility Study for Individual Project

RE in Thailand: Leadership

DR. CHULAPONGS CHULLAKESA

- First Director; Office of Rural Electrification
- PEA Governor 1993 1996
- Who initiated and handled RE in Thailand from the beginning to finished.

Most important step in starting RE

Leadership

Find the right person to lead and handle all processes

Lessons Learned: Up to this point

- 1. Find the right person to lead Leadership
- 2. Get Government Commitment
- 3. Careful Planning Step-by Step
- Close coordination with National Planning Agency

Lessons Learned: Up to this point

5. Set up the

Office of Accelerated Rural Electrification

to take full accountability – single management unit - for implementation of the task.



NESDP

To develop the country economically and socially

PEA Target

To extend electricity supply to all rural villages











Electrified villages : 10,000



Stage 1 : Initial Stage

Period	• 1964 – 1975 (12 years)	
Target	10,000 VillagesBy Small Diesel Power Plants	
Investment	 96.5 MB. (2.67 M.USD) Consist of PEA Revenue = 70 % Customer Expense = 30 % 	
Status	 Electrified Villages 20 % 	

Remark : ^(*) Exchange Rate: 1 USD = 36.2 Baht



Stage 2 : Accelerated Rural Electrification Program Stage

Period	 1972 – 1994 (22 years) 	7
Target	 64,228 Villages By Grid System	
Investment	 25,988 MB. (717.9 M.USD) 1972 – 1994 PEA Revenue = 70 % Customer Expense = 30 % 	Source of Fund • PEA Revenue • Domestic Loan
Status	Electrified Villages 98 %	External Loan

Remark : (*) Exchange Rate: 1 USD = 36.2 Baht



Stage 3 : Rural Household Electrification Stage

Rural Household	Period	• 1995 – 2006 (12 years)
Electrification Project		 73,213 Villages (4 Projects)
phase 1 – 3	Target	 By Grid System and Solar
(1005 2006)		Cell
(1993 – 2000)	Investment	• 16,944 MB. (468 M.USD)
		Consist of
Solar Home System		PEA Revenue = 55 %
Project (2004 – 2006)		Domestic Loan= 25 %
		 External Loan = 30 %
	Status	 Electrified Villages 99.98 %
	Remar	k : ^(*) Exchange Rate: 1 USD = 36.2 Ba



Future Plan of RE

Stage 4 : New Rural Household Electrification Stage

Accelerated Rural Household Electrification	Period	• 2010 – 2011 (2 years)
Project (AHEP)	Target	 91,527 Households
New Rural Household Electrification Project	Investment	 2,045 MB. (65.3 M.USD) Consist of
(NHEP)		• PEA Revenue = 25 %
Remote Rural Household		Domestic Loan= 75 %
Electrification Project (RHEP)	Status	 Electrified Villages 61.12 % (55,941 Household)

Remark : ^(*) Exchange Rate: 1 USD = 31.3 Baht



Future Plan of RE

Stage 4 : New Rural Household Electrification Stage

Accelerated Rural Household Electrification	Period	 2012 – 2016 (5 years)
Project (AHEP)	Target	 131,629 Households
New Rural Household Electrification Project	Investment	 3,687 MB. (117.8 M.USD) Consist of
(NHEP)		PEA Revenue = 25 %
Remote Rural Household		 Domestic Loan= 75 %
Electrification Project (RHEP)	Status	Under Cabinet Approval

Remark : ^(*) Exchange Rate: 1 USD = 31.3 Baht



Future Plan of RE

Stage 4 : New Rural Household Electrification Stage

Accelerated Rural Household Electrification	Period	• 2012 – 2017 (6 years)
Project (AHEP)	Target	 14,100 Households
New Rural Household Electrification Project (NHEP)	Investment	 1,980 MB. (63.2 M.USD) Consist of PEA Revenue = 25 %
Remote Rural Household		 Domestic Loan= 75 %
Electrification Project (RHEP)	Status	Under Cabinet Approval

Remark : ^(*) Exchange Rate: 1 USD = 31.3 Baht



Number of electrified villages and households in PEA's Service areas

Status (Mar.2012)	Villages
All Rural Villages	73,360 (100%)
Electrified	73,348 (99.98%)
Remaining	12
In Plan	12
Status (Mar.2012)	Households
All Rural Households in PEA's Service Area	16,385,757 (100%)
Electrified	16,246,885 (99.15%)
In Plan	138,872



Progression of electrified villages in PEA's Service areas During NESDP, 1st - 10th 4th NRHE stage **No. of Electrified Villages** (99.11%)(98%) 3rd RHE stage 73,200 80,000 73,348 64,228 (95%) 70,000 2nd Accelerated REP stage 58,334 **99.98%** 60,000 As of Mar.2012 (75%) 50,000 41,374 40,000 1st Initial stage (44%) 30,000 22,52 (21%) 20,000 10,014 (5%) (5%) 10,000 729 3,971 1,946 Year 1960 1966 1971 1976 1981 1986 1991 1996 2001 2011 2009 1st NESDP 2nd NESDP 3rd NESDP 4th NESDP 5th NESDP 6th NESDP 7th NESDP 8th NESDP 9th NESDP 10th NESDP

 Total Rural Villages
 = 73,360

 Electrified
 = 73,348 (99.98%)



การไฟฟ้าส่วนภูมิภาค PROVINCIAL ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY

2. Policy, Strategies, and Implementation

As RE needed a large amount of investment but earn very low rate of return:

How did PEA survive the possibility of huge financial lost?



Source : Provincial Electricity Company Yearly Statistics Report (1982 to 1992). Metropolitan Electricity Company Annual Report 1992.
First try to find Concessional Loan

 It is a loan with lowest interest rate and longterm of payment.

 From the World Bank, kfw- Germany, Saudi Arabia Fund, Kuwait Fund, NORAD – Norway etc.

F4 Urban to Rural Subsidy

- EGAT to sell power at lower price to PEA than to MEA / direct subsidy from the rich capital and industrial area to rural.
- The tariff structure is based on cross subsidy between customer categories.
- Oblige the rich customers such as industrial to subsidize the poor one.
- Electricity tariff for each customer category must be the same all over the country.

F4 SYSTEM OF CROSS - SUBSIDIES :



- EGAT charged PEA 30% lower than it charged MEA
- Retail tariff rate structures designed to charge larger users at higher rate than small users
- Both PEA and MEA were required to use the same retail rate structure. PEA is compensated from 30% save in bulk rate purchasing from EGAT set above.



Source : Electricity Generating Company of Thailand Annual Report 1992.

F5 Efficient Bill Collection



- We was keenly aware that problems in bill collection from customers could lead to financial problems.
- No Money No Honey
- PEA hired local respected individuals to collect on bills, such as school teachers, village heads, or village elders.

As rural villages are scattered and need long lines of distribution system to reach:

How did PEA do to Cut Cost or Minimizing the overall Construction Cost?

I1, I2 Cost Cutting Strategies



 Reduced procurement and material handling expenses.

• Reduced purchasing cost through bulk purchasing

• Help strengthening the capability of local industry.

I1, I2 Cost Cutting Strategies



- Get right of way permit for free.
- Clearing and cutting economic trees without compensation.
- The saving enabled PEA to provide electricity to an additional 837 villages or 22% more than targeted for the project.

I1 Comprehensive Standardization

- Selected 22 kV and 33 kV as the standard for its distribution system through out the country.
- Opted to standardize all of the equipment and components used for construction of distribution systems of all individual electrification projects.

I1, I2 Minimize Construction Cost

- Standardized the systems, designs, materials, equipment and construction techniques.
- Use locally produced materials when possible.
- Seek local support (e.g. Capital and labor contributions)
- Set up a voluntary system of contributions for the capital costs of extension.
- Find access to concessional loans and grants.

How to avoid political

interference or fighting to get

village construction priority?

14 Systematic Model for Planning and Village Selection

- Reconnaissance Study to assess readiness of all relevant party, the Government, PEA, EGAT, Rural Population.
- Pre- Feasibility Study (National Plan for Thailand Rural Electrification)
- Detailed Feasibility Study for Individual Project

I4 Original Timetable for Thailand's National Rural Electrification Plan (Source: PEA 1978)

Stage	Fiscal Years	In Program	Accumulated	Region
I	1977-1981	5,200	5,200	Northeast
II	1980 – 1984	8,000	13,200	South
111	1983 – 1987	13,500	26,700	North
IV	1986 – 1990	14,500	41,200	Central
V	1990 – onwa	rd 5,800	47,000	Countrywide

General Framework for Setting Priorities for Villages to be Included in RE Projects

1. Maximizing potential benefits while minimizing project cost.

2. Integrating rural electrification into broader national development strategy.

3. Giving consideration to the social and political requirements of less stable areas.

Methodology for Village Selection



I4 Variables Used to Determine Number of Villages to be Selected in Each Province

- Percentage of Rural Households with access to: (see next slide)
- Percentage of households using cooking fuels
- Percentage of dwellings constructed in past five Years
- General health indexes

- Ratio of Students in..(see following slides)
- Agricultural Assessment
- Baseline Electricity Data

 Overall Village Characteristics

I4 Percentage of rural households with access to:

- Public well as source of water supply
- Private well as source of water supply
- Electric lighting
- Radio
- Television

• Sewing machine

- Refrigerator
- Electric fan
- Water pump for agriculture use

14 Variables Used to Determine Number of Villages to be Selected in Each Province

Percentage of households using cooking fuels:

- Charcoal
- Wood
- Gas
- Other Modern fuels

Percentage of dwellings constructed in the past five years

14 Variables Used to Determine Number of Villages to be Selected in Each Province

General Health Indexes:

- Rural population density
- Population birth rate
- Population growth rate
- Ratio of population to local physicians

Ratio of Students in:

- Upper elementary to lower elementary school
- Lower secondary to upper elementary school
- Upper secondary to lower secondary school

14 Variables Used to Determine Number of Villages to be Selected in Each Province

Agricultural Assessment (%)

- Gross area in agricultural use
- Arable land under rice cultivation
- Arable land under field crop cultivation
- Total land under fruit trees and tree crops

Baseline Electricity Data

- Consumption in villages already electrified
- Ratio of electrified households to total households
- Households with electricity (%)
- **Overall Village Characteristic**
- Average household size
- Average village population

The Province Allocation Formula

(Si - Sm) x
$$\left(\frac{0.975 - Dm}{Sx - Sm}\right)$$
 + Dm = Di(1)
 $\sum_{i=1}^{27}$ (Di x Vi) = 9,000 ± 50(2)

I4 Village Socio-economic Factors forForecasting District Electricity Demand (Village Allocation for District)

- Households Characteristics
- Agriculture
- Households Income
- Households Expenditures

I4 Village Socioeconomic Factors forForecasting District Electricity Demand (Village Allocation for District)

Households Characteristics

- Household size within village
- Net income per expenditure

Source: PEA 1978. Vol. I

Agriculture

- No. of households that own lowlands for rice cultivation
- No. of households that own upperland for other crops
- No. of households with cattle and water buffalo
- No. of households owning small livestock

I4 Village Allocation for District

Household Income

- Average village income from agricultural sources
- Average village income from livestock sales
- Average village income from wages and salaries
- Average village income from other sources
- Total annual income

Household Expenditures

- Fixed expenditure
- Variable expenditure
- Subsistence expenditure
- Social expenditure
- Total annual expenditure Source: PEA 1978. Vol. I

The District Allocation Formula

14 VILLAGE RANKING

Village Ranking for final selection:

- Proximity to the grid
- Accessible by road
- Village size
- Number of expected customers in first five years
- Potential agricultural and industrial load
- Number of commercial establishments
- Extent of public facilities

I5 Options for Accelerating Village Selection

- Devised sound methods for village selection and prioritization criteria .
- Made the program flexible by providing alternatives for villagers and individual including politicians to receive priority treatment, but they have to pay.



15 Options for Accelerating Village Selection

- Normal Accelerated Project PEA paid all construction cost
- Partial Contribution for Construction Cost around 30 %
- Full Contribution immediately connected to the grid

How to increase revenue from

electrified villages?

18 Promotion of Productive Uses of Electricity



- Implement Aggressive Load Promotion Program
 - Promote rice mill owners to convert diesel engine drive rice mills to electric motors
 - Promote new rice mills to use electric motors from the begining.
 - Promote house hold initial connection rate.

I16 Low – cost, Affordable Connections



- Set a relatively low cost and affordable connection fees, only US\$ 18.
- Provided loans for house wiring and initial connection costs - US\$ 98.
- Appointed village head men / leaders to take application forms and collected deposit / connection fees for PEA.

I16 Low – cost, Affordable Connections



Allowed rice mill owners to pay the connection fees by 12 month – stallments with no interest.

Coordinated with the Agricultural Bank
Ioans for rice mills



How to manage Power Company

to focus on RE rather than

Generation or Transmission Business

which is more profitable ?

L5 Institutional Approach Favors Distribution Company

- Designed proper Institutional Arrangement (Focus on Electricity Distribution) to make clear of the responsibility.
- Aimed at Institutional Arrangement that emphasize the significance of RE.



L5 Institutional Approach Favors Distribution Company

- Separate the generation and distribution via different companies
- PEA mandate is to provide electric power distribution to provincial cities and rural areas throughout the country
- Is free to concentrate solely on distribution
- Do not have to concern itself with power generation and transmission.
- Do not have the burden to provide services to the high-demand, high-growth Bangkok.



Reungvith Vechasart, Asst. Governor, (Retired) 9 July 2013
A Strategy Map Represents How the Organization Creates Value



3. Lessons from Thailand's Experience

- Commitment to Financial Soundness
- Financing Expansion Through Cross Subsidies and Concessional Loans
- Bulk Tariff Subsidies as Compensation for Universal Electricity Pricing Structure
- Avoid Political Interference
- Gaining Local Support
- Dedicated Distribution Company

Conclusion : Key Words

- Strong Determination and Dedication of Team Leader,
- Office of Rural Electrification,

- Targeting highest load villages,
- Cross Subsidies,
- low cost connections,

Conclusion : Key Words

- Load Promotion Program,
- Standardization,
- Unique Bill Collection Method,
- Alternative for rich villages





THANK YOU

การไฟฟ้าส่วนภูมิภาค

???? Wwiajugune

PROVINCIAL ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY

